

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/011,852 12/04/2001		David M. Smart	1935-1-3	5227		
996	7590	08/06/2004		EXAMINER		
	•	KSON, HALEY LL	MORRISON, NASCHICA SANDERS			
155 - 108T SUITE 350	HAVENU	JE NE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BELLEVUE, WA 98004-5901			3632			
				DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/011,852	SMART, DAVID M.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Naschica S Morrison	3632	INW		

	14aschica 3 Morrison	3032						
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):								
(1) <u>Naschica S Morrison</u> .	(3)							
(2) <u>John Janeway</u> .	(4)							
Date of Interview: 7/14/04 & 7/20/04.		•						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	:]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.							
Claim(s) discussed: 1.								
Identification of prior art discussed: Gray '021; US 4062372 to Slusher.								
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	i)∏ was not reached. ∕h)∏ N	/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	eed would rend ould render the	er the claims claims					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse sign	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APP SINTERVIEW S	LICANT IS SUMMARY					

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On 7/14/04, Applicant argued that the legs (34) of Gray did not meet the limitation of claim 1 because the legs would not support the entire support (i.e. they would not support the arms). Examiner stated she would discuss the claim and current arguments with a primary examiner (K. Chan) before agreeing or disagreeing. Examiner also clarified that the applicant's arguments (set forth in response filed 3/22/04) regarding the biasing member were not persuasive because the arguments were not directed to the legs (members 34 instead of members 12) set forth in the examiner's rejection. On 7/20/04, Examiner advised applicant that the arguments were persuasive to overcome the rejection of claim 1 in view of Gray; however examiner stated that claim 1 would not be allowable as it read at least on previously cited US 4062372 to Slusher. Examiner and applicant discussed how Slusher could be used to reject at least claim 1.